



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/948,756 10/10/97 ELLIS

LM02/0224

EXAMINER COULTER, K

G VICTOR TREYZ FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10020-1104

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2758

DATE MAILED:

02/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/948,756 Applicant(s)

Ellis et al.

Examiner

Kenneth Coulter

Group Art Unit 2758



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Kenneth Coulter (3)
(2) <u>Joo-Youn Park (Reg. No. P45,482)</u> (4)
Date of Interview Feb 16, 2000
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 126. If yes, brief description:
Agreement _was reached.
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 27-31, and 44-47
Identification of prior art discussed:
Roop et al.; Pinder et al.; Aristides et al.
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant reiterated that the configurable queues are located at the main facility level or the feed generator level, not at the set-top box level. Applicant agreed to modify the independent claims in order to clarify the claim language and in order to render mute the references used by the Examiner.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
KENNETH R. COULTER

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 10-95)

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.